

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In the **PATENT APPLICATION** of:

Martin Fangmeier

**Application No.:** Not Yet Known

**Filed:** Not Yet Known

**For:** BACKFLOW PREVENTER

**Group:** Not Yet Known

**Examiner:** Not Yet Known

Our File: SMB-PT171  
(PC 04 402 B US)  
Date: March 21, 2006

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT**

Mail Stop PCT  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Further to Applicant's Duty of Disclosure pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.56, Applicant wishes to bring to the Examiner's attention the material cited on the enclosed PTO-1449 form. Copies of the cited documents are enclosed.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.98(a)(2)(ii), copies of the cited U.S. publications and/or patent documents have not been included.

The references cited in this Information Disclosure Statement were either listed in an International Search Report in the corresponding International Application or cited in the German Office Action from the original priority application, copies enclosed. Of the non-English language references cited in the German Office Action, FR 2 559 872 (reference 1), Figure 2 and column 7, lines 12-14, is cited as showing all

**Applicant:** Martin Fangmeier  
**Application No.:** Not Yet Known

of the features of claim 1. FR 2 665 503, (reference 2), Figure 1, column 4, lines 19-29; DE 87 03 945, (reference 3), Figure 1, element 8; and GB 2 266 757 (reference 4), Figure 1, page 6, line 36 - page 7, line 6, are all cited as novelty destroying of claim 1.


Claim 1 was also rejected as lacking an inventive step given the combination of DE 37 22 665 (reference 6) (corresponds to U.S. 4,862,913) and DE 196 10 778 (reference 5). Claim 2 was rejected in view of references 1 -4 in the Action. Claim 3 was rejected in view of reference 1, Figure 2, and reference 5, Figure 1. Claim 4 was rejected in view of reference 5, Figure 1; column 2, lines 41-45. Claims 5 and 6 were rejected in view of reference 1, Figure 2 or reference 5, column 1, lines 41-45. Claim 7 was rejected in view of references 1-5. Claim 8 was rejected in view of reference 2, Figure 1 or reference 3, Figure 1. Claim 9 was rejected in view of references 3-6. Claim 10 was rejected in view of reference 1-4 and 6.

It is respectfully requested that the Examiner consider these documents and return an initialed copy of the PTO-1449 form indicating his consideration of the cited materials.

Respectfully submitted,

Martin Fangmeier

By

  
Randolph J. Huis  
Registration No. 34,626  
(215) 568-6400

Volpe and Koenig, P.C.  
United Plaza, Suite 1600  
30 South 17th Street  
Philadelphia, PA 19103  
RJH/dmm

FORM PTO-1449  U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT  (Use several sheets if necessary)	ATTY. DOCKET NO. SMB-PT171	SERIAL NO. Not Yet Known
	APPLICANT Martin Fangmeier	
	FILING DATE Not Yet Known	GROUP Not Yet Known

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

EXAMINER INITIAL	DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUBCLASS	FILING DATE IF APPROPRIATE
AA	4,862,913	09/1989	Dieter Wildfang			
AB	2004/0238043	12/2004	Arnold et al.			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

EXAMINER INITIAL	DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	COUNTRY	CLASS	SUBCLASS	TRANSLATION	
						YES	NO
AC	661,749	11/1951	Great Britain			X	
AD	1,031,226	06/1966	Great Britain			X	
AE	7 045 248	03/1971	Germany				X
AF	2 559 872	08/1985	France			*	
AG	87 03 945	06/1987	Germany				X
AH	0 287 289	10/1988	Europe			X	
AI	2 665 503	02/1992	France			*	
AJ	2 266 757	11/1993	Great Britain			X	
AK	196 10 778	09/1997	Germany			*	
AL	296 20 916	5/1998	Germany				X
AM	296 20 927	05/1998	Germany				X
			*= Abstract only				

OTHER DOCUMENTS (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)

--	--	--

EXAMINER	DATE CONSIDERED
----------	-----------------

EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.